IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE EIGHTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

-

WRIT PETITION No.19560 of 1999

Between:

1 T.T.D.S.V. Vaikuntam,"Q" Complex Salesmen Association,

(Regd No. F-1480) Affiliated to AITCU, ATC Canteen, Tirumala
Rep by its General Secretary, G.Laxman, S/o. G.Venkatesh,
age- 40, R/o. Tirumala.
2 T.T.D.T.S.Workers Union, Affiliated to CITU, ATC Canteen, Tirumala,
Rep by its President, D.Gurunath Reddy, S/o. B.Venkat Reddy,
age- 42, R/o. Tirumala.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanam, Rep by its Executive Officer,
Tirupati, Chittoor District.
2 Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep by its Secretary,

Revenue (Endowment Department), Secretariat Building, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 1st Respondent in issuing tender Notice Roc.No.PR4/17485/ADV/114/99, dated 8.9.99, for preparation, packing and arbitrary and consequently direct the respondents to introduce the preparation, packing and distribution of Pulihora Packets to the Petitioners herein.

Counsel for the Petitioners: MR.G.VIDYASAGAR

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: MR M.ADINARAYANA RAJU (TTD L.A.

(WRITS))

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: G.P. FOR ENDOWMENTS

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

The Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams (TTD) invited tenders to prepare Pulihora and pack and hand over the same to the distribution center at Tirumala for the financial year 1999-2000 i.e., upto 31.3.2000. The same is assailed in the writ petition by the petitioners, namely, T.T.D.S.V.Vaikuntam "Q" Complex Salesmen Association and T.T.D.T.S.Workers Union. After hearing the learned Standing Counsel for the TTD, this Court is convinced that the petitioners have no right to compel the TTD to award the contract to the petitioners. Further, the tender itself was for the period ending with 31.3.2000 and therefore, the cause of action does not survive.

The writ petition is, therefore, dismissed as infructuous.

_____

(V.V.S.RAO,J)

08.6.2005

YS

To

1 The Executive Officer, Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanam,
Tirupati, Chittoor District.
2 The Secretary, Government of Andhra Pradesh,

Revenue (Endowment Department), Secretariat Building, Hyderabad.

3 Two CCs to the Government Pleader for Endowments,

High Court Buildings, Hyderabad. (OUT)

4 Two C.D. Copies.